UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------------------|---|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/781,924 | 02/18/2004 | Robert B. Franks | 5897-000022/CO 9713 | |
| ²⁷⁵⁷² HARNESS, D | 27572 7590 06/26/2007 HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C. | | EXAMINER | |
| P.O. BOX 828 | • | | GARG, YOGESH C | |
| BLOOMFIEL | D HILLS, MI 48303 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3625 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 06/26/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/781,924 | FRANKS, ROBERT B. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Yogesh C. Garg | 3625 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 4/620 | 007. | • | | | | |
| | <u> </u> | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E | x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45 | 53 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-17 and 21-30</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>18-20</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | r election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce | | Examiner. | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct | • | • • • | | | | |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | aminer. Note the attached Office | Action or form PTO-152. | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) |)-(d) or (f). | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents | s have been received. | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior | rity documents have been receive | ed in this National Stage | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau | ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | of the certified copies not receive | ed. | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| A44-ah-man4/a) | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary | (DTO 412) | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Da | ate | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/26 & 7/12, 2004. | 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | atent Application | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of Group II, claims 18-20 in the reply filed on 4/6/2007 is 1. acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claims 1-17 and 21-30 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected election, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Claims 18-20 are currently pending.

Priority

Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-2. (d) based upon an application filed in UK on 08/18/2001. The claim for priority is denied because (a) A claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d) cannot be based on said application, since the United States application was filed more than twelve months thereafter and (b) the applicant has not filed a certified copy of the GB 0120213.4 application as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b).

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being used by United States Patent & Trademark Office (USPTO)(see the Reference numbers 2,3 and 4 in the IDS sheet 1 of PTO-1449 received from the applicant on 7/12/2004). All these Reference numbers represent web pages of the USPTO web server facilitating to register and file patent and trademark applications. While rejecting the claims individual Reference numbers will be cited to reject the claims

Regarding claim 18, Reference number 4 discloses a transaction system for collecting data describing a trade mark, said transaction system comprising:

a transaction computer entity, said transaction computer entity operating an interface, said interface capable of accepting a data file representing a trade mark. And at least one user computer entity, said user computer entity comprising a browser, and a file system; wherein said interface allows said browser to: browse said file system of said user computer entity; select a data file stored on a data storage device of said user computer entity; and said interface inputs said selected data file to said transaction computer entity (see pages 1-3. TEAS filing system provides an interface to a user having a computer entity equipped with a browser to select form

Art Unit: 3625

files from a file system and populate those files with data and images (for trademarks) and accept the populated files for registration).

Regarding claim 19, its limitations are closely parallel to the limitations of claim 18 and is therefore analyzed and rejected on the basis of same rationale set forth for claim 18 above.

Regarding claim 20, reference 4 teaches that the transaction system as claimed in claim 19, wherein said interface is capable of serving a view containing an image selected from the set: a JPEG or a PDF image (see page 2 which teaches accepting JPG files)

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Grainger (US 20020093528 A1, see at least Abstract and claims 1-33), Porcari (US Patent 7069592, see at least Figs 1-5 and col.2, line 24-col.3, line 54), Tran (US 20060190807 A1, see at least Abstract and Fig.3B), and Lee (US Patent 7016851;see at least Abstract and claims 1-49) disclose electronic systems and methods for preparation of an intellectual property (patent and trademark applications) filing.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yogesh C. Garg whose telephone number is 571-272-6756. The examiner can normally be reached on Increased Flex.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey A. Smith can be reached on 571-272-6763. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

> Yogesh C Garg **Primary Examiner** Art Unit 3625

YCG 6/13/2007